

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. BOX 14	IJU	
Alexandria.	Virginia	22313-1450
www.uspto.		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,787	10/18/2001	Kenneth Beime	GES-0032	5435	
28062 75	90 03/14/2006	EXAMINER			
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR LLC			HAVAN, THU THAO		
5 ELM STREE' NEW CANAAI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW CANAAI	N, C1 00040		3624		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application i	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/682,787		BEIRNE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Thu Thao Hav		3624	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the properties of the properties	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, I od will apply and will ex tute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to no to become ABANDONEL	. ely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status					
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	December 2005	i		
		his action is non-			
′=	Since this application is in condition for allow			secution as to the	e merits is
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· ·		o mento io
Disposit	ion of Claims	. In parte quay.	0, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0.0.270.	
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application		4 a a a 41 a a		
€ \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consid	deration.		
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requ	irement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required i	f the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Cepies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bure Cee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been re ents have been re iority documents eau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachmen	•		_		
	re of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Dai Notice of Informal Pa Other:)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/682,787

Art Unit: 3624

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-37 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment received December 21, 2005.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lent et al. (US 6,324,524) in view of Walker et al. (US 6,336,104) is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed December 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims the following comments are made:

A.) In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

B.) Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach performing a credit worthiness check to determine a credit pre-approval of customer, performing is done using a result of searching and without knowledge of and uninitiated by customer. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Walker teaches performing a credit worthiness check to determine a credit pre-approval of customer, performing is

done using a result of searching and without knowledge of and uninitiated by customer

Application/Control Number: 09/682,787

Art Unit: 3624

(col. 4, lines 50-67; col. 5, lines 1-65; col. 6, lines 42-54; col. 2, lines 9-21; col. 7, lines 1-15; col. 14, lines 14-32). In other words, Walker discloses point of sale (POS) wherein the customers are unaware of the pre-approval credit card until an offer is mailed or contacted them via email.

With regards to the claims rejected as taught by Walker and Lent, the examiner would like to point out that the reference teaches the claimed limitations and thus provides adequate support for the claimed limitations. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Walker and Lent taught the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,787

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 3/4/2006

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPETIOISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vineas Melli

Page 4